

United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 07/22/2003 10/625,333 Cory Bear 2103175-991101 7760 **EXAMINER** 26379 7590 12/07/2005 DLA PIPER RUDNICK GRAY CARY US, LLP CORRIELUS, JEAN M 2000 UNIVERSITY AVENUE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER E. PALO ALTO, CA 94303-2248 2162

DATE MAILED: 12/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/625,333	BEAR ET AL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jean M. Corrielus	2162
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILII - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re ion. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT y statute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice ur 	This action is non-final. Ilowance except for formal matte	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction are subject to restriction are subject to restriction are subject to by the Example 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □	thdrawn from consideration. and/or election requirement.	ov the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the call. The oath or declaration is objected to by the call.	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand correction is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in Ap e priority documents have been r dureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	4) Interview Su	ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/22/03. 		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to the application filed on July 22, 2003, in which claims 1-3 are presenting for examination.

Information Disclosure Statement.

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) filed on July 22, 2003 complies with the provisions of M.P.E.P 609. It has been placed in the application file. The information referred to therein has been considered as to the merits.

Drawings

3. Applicants are required to furnish the formal drawings in response to this office action if the formal drawings have not been submitted. No new matter may be introduced in the required drawings. Failure to timely submit a drawing will result in ABANDONMENT of the application.

Claim Objections

4. Claims 1-3 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 1 lines 19, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28, 30, 32, 34, 35, 37 and 38 please delete "and";

In line 40, please –and--.

Claim 2, lines 18, 19, 21, 23, 25, 27, 29, 31, 33, 35, 37, and 38 please delete "and";

In line 40, please insert –and--.

In page 10 of the application please separate claim 3 from claim 2;

Claim 3, step (d) line 3, after product please add -and--.

Art Unit: 2162

Claim 3 recites "a method for extending the use of the <u>said invention</u>". Applicant is advised to point out the use of the invention instead to have the word <u>said invention</u> in the claim.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1 recite line 3 "this", lines 7 and 11 "its". Pronouns are not permitted, only what is being referred by "this" and "its" should be set forth in the claim. Applicants are advised to amend the claim so solve the 112 rejection set forth in the claim.

Double Patenting

The non-statutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to

Art Unit: 2162

be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

8. Claims 1-3 are 1- rejected on the ground of nonstatutory double patenting over claims 1-53 of U. S. Patent No. 6,640,217 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter. Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of the following reasons: Claim 1 of the instant application substantially recites the limitations of claim 1 of the cited co-pending application. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of data processing at the time the invention was made to modify the cited steps as indicated claim 1 of the instant US patent application since the omission and addition of the cited limitations would have not changed the process according to which the method of representing historical records of data backup activity from a plurality of data backup products. Therefore, the ordinary skilled artisan would have been also motivated to modify claim 1 of the cited instant US patent application by inserting the steps of providing a database construction of a uniformly formatted record for insertion and the steps for execution of the process to add said records. The cited omitting elements would not interfere with the functionality of the steps previously claimed and would perform the same function. In re Karlson, 136 USPQ 184 (CCPA 1963).

Application/Control Number: 10/625,333 Page 5

Art Unit: 2162

The dependent claims 2-3 of the instant application are rejected for fully incorporating the errors of the base claim by dependency.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean M. Corrielus whose telephone number is (571) 272-4032. The examiner can normally be reached on 10 hours shift.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Breene can be reached on (571) 272-4107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

December 3, 2005